arXiv:0908.3781v1 [math.AP] 26 Aug 2009

An Example for the Usage of the birkmult Class File

Birkhäuser Publishing Ltd. and A Second Author

To my boss

Abstract. The aim of this work is to provide the contributors to journals or to multi-authored books with an easy-to-use and flexible class file compatible with LaTeX and $\mathcal{AMS}$-LaTeX.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 99Z99; Secondary 00A00.

Keywords. Class file, journal.

1. Document Preamble

Start the article with the command

```
\documentclass{birkmult}
```

After that, needed macro packages and new commands can be inserted as in every LaTeX or $\mathcal{AMS}$-LaTeX document. Don't use commands that change the page layout (like `\textwidth, \oddsidemargin` etc.) or fonts.

Journals: for some journals, the citation lines can be included automatically by using the appropriate option in the above command, namely,

- Integral Equations and Operator Theory: `\documentclass[ieot]{birkmult}`
- Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics: `\documentclass[jmfm]{birkmult}`
- Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics: `\documentclass[medjm]{birkmult}`
- Milan Journal of Mathematics: `\documentclass[mjm]{birkmult}`

This work was completed with the support of our TeX-pert.

2. Frontmatter

The command

`\begin{document}`

starts – as always – the article.

2.1. Author Data

Afterwards, insert title, author(s) and affiliation(s), as in the source file to this document, `bmultdoc.tex`. E.g.,

```
\title[An Example for birkmult]
 {An Example for the Usage of the\\ birkmult Class File}
%----------Author 1
\author[Birkh\"auser]{Birkh\"{a}user Publishing Ltd.}
\address{%
Viaduktstr. 42\\
P.O. Box 133\\
CH 4010 Basel\\
Switzerland}
\email{info@birkhauser.ch}
```

For each author the commands `\author`, `\address` and `\email` should be used separately. See the last page of this document for the typesetting layout of the above addresses.

2.2. Abstract, Thanks, Key Words, MSC

The `abstract` environment typesets the abstract:

```
\begin{abstract}
The aim of this work is to provide the contributors to edited books
with an easy-to-use and flexible class file compatible with
\LaTeX\ and \AmS-\LaTeX.
\end{abstract}
```

In addition, the Mathematical Subject Codes, some key words and thanks can be given:

```
\thanks{This work was completed with the support of our
\TeX-pert.}
\subjclass{Primary 99Z99; Secondary 00A00}
\keywords{Class file, journal}
```

Finally, `\maketitle` typesets the title.

3. Mainmatter

Now type the article using the usual LaTeX and (if you need them) $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-LaTeX commands.

We gratefully appreciate if the text does not contain `\overfull` and/or `\underfull` boxes, if equations do not exceed the indicated width, if hyphenations have been checked, and if the hierarchical structure of your article is clear. Please avoid caps and underlines.

Just to give examples of a few typical environments:

Definition 3.1. This serves as environment for definitions. Note that the text appears not in italics.

$$\text{This is a sample equation: } c^2 = a^2 + b^2 \tag{3.1}$$

The above equation received the label `testequation`.

Theorem 3.2 (Main Theorem). *In contrast to definitions, theorems appear typeset in italics as it has become more or less standard in most textbooks and monographs. Equations can be cited using the* `\eqref` *command which automatically adds brackets:* `\eqref{testequation}` *results in* (3.1).

Proof. A special environment is predefined: the *proof* environment. Please use

`\begin{proof}`

proof of the statement

`\end{proof}`

for typesetting your proofs. The end-of-proof symbol □ will be added automatically. □

There are two known problems with the placement of the end-of-proof sign:

1. if your proof ends with a s i n g l e displayed line, the end-of-proof sign would be placed in the line below; if you want to avoid this, write your line in the form

   `$$displayed math line \eqno\qedhere$$`

   which results in

   Proof.

   $$\textit{displayedmathline} \qquad \square$$

2. if your proof ends with a larger displayed environment, you either may leave the end-of-proof sign where it is located or you could add some

   `\vskip-1em`

   one line above the

   `\end{proof}`

   command, in order to place the symbol a bit above.

Remark 3.3. Additional comments are being typeset without boldfaced entrance word as they may be minor important.

Example. For some constructs, even no number is required.

Displayed equations may be numbered like the following one:

$$\sqrt{1-\sin^2(x)} = |\cos(x)|. \tag{3.2}$$

3.1. Here is a Sample Subsection

Just needed because next thing is

3.1.1. Here is a Sample for a Subsubsection. One more sample will follow which clearly shows the difference between subsubsection deeper nested lists:
Here is a Sample for a Paragraph. As you observe, paragraphs do not have numbers and start new lines after the heading, by default.

3.2. Indentation

Though indentation to indicate a new paragraph is welcome, please do not use indentation when the new paragraph is already marked by an extra vertical space, as for example in the case of the first paragraph following a heading (this is standard in this class), or after using commands like `\smallskip, \medskip, \bigskip` etc.

3.3. Figures

Please use whenever possible figures in EPS format (encapsulated postscript). Then, you can include the figure with the command

```
\includegraphics{figure.eps}
```

It is sometimes difficult to place insertions at an exact location in the final form of the article. Therefore, all figures and tables should be numbered and you should refer to these numbers within the text. Please avoid formulations like "the following figure…".

3.4. Your Own Macros

If you prefer to use your own macros within your document(s) please don't forget to send them to us together with the source files for the manuscript. We will need all these files to produce the final layout.

4. Backmatter

At the end of the document, the affiliation(s) will be typeset automatically. For this it is necessary that you used the `\address` command for including your affiliation, as explained above.

References

[1] A. B. C. Test, *On a Test.* J. of Testing **88** (2000), 100–120.

[2] G. Grätzer, *Math into LaTeX.* 3rd Edition, Birkhäuser, 2000.

Acknowledgment

Many thanks to our TEX-pert for developing this class file.

Birkhäuser Publishing Ltd.
Viaduktstr. 42
P.O. Box 133
CH 4010 Basel
Switzerland
e-mail: info@birkhauser.ch

A Second Author
The address of
the second author
sitting somewhere
in the world
e-mail: dont@know.who.knows

arXiv:0908.3781v1 [math.AP] 26 Aug 2009

On the properties of invariants of forms

Mehdi Nadjafikhah and Parastoo Kabi-Nejad

Abstract. This paper is devoted to a discussion of specific properties of invariants in the theory of forms.

A.M.S. 2000 Subject Classification: 34C14, 22F30, 19GXX.

Keywords: Invariant, Homogeneous polynomial, Weight .

1. Introduction

At first, we point out briefly a geometrical interpretation of the theory of forms in two variable. Clearly, the theory of binary forms is identical with the geometry of a line (or a bundle of lines and planes). Analogously, one realizes that a ternary form is identical to the geometry of the plane, namely of algebraic curves. for instance, the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ which plays a key role in analytic function theory [1], can be identified as the algebraic variety corresponding to a certian homogeneous ternary cubic. See [2, 3, 12] for the remarkable connection between elliptic curves, modular forms, and Fermat's Last theorem. Finaly, the theory of quaternary forms is an essential aim in studying the geometry of space, especially of algebraic surfaces. The forms with more than three variables do not admit such a geometric interpretation. [6] Theory of invariants plays a vital role in the theory of forms.It's origins can be traced back to Cayley (1845), who used the term "hyperdeterminants" for functions possessing the invariant property. So, we are led to unearth essentially new and deep properties of forms through the application of this theory to the theory of forms.

2. Properties of invariants

In the following we will initially limit ourselves to the theory of binary forms and use them to clarify the general concepts. The generalization of binary forms to forms with arbitrarily many variables poses no difficulties in most cases. We

always write the general binary form of order n as:

$$f^{(n)} = a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1}y + \cdots + a_ny^n.$$

Example 2.1. Consider the homogeneous quadratic polynomial

$$Q(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 \tag{2.1}$$

in two variables x,y. Clearly we can recover the inhomogeneous quadratic polynomial from the assotiated quadratic form and vice versa.

A glance observation shows that any invertible linear transformation

$$\overline{x} = \alpha x + \beta y, \qquad \overline{y} = \gamma x + \delta y, \qquad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

will map a homogeneous polynomial in $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ according to

$$\overline{Q}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \overline{Q}(\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y) = Q(x, y). \tag{2.3}$$

Remarkably, the discriminant of the transformed polynomial is directly related to that of the original quadratic form. A straightforward computation verifies that they agree up to the square of the determinant of the coefficient matrix for the linear transformation (2):

$$\triangle = ac - b^2 = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^2(\overline{ac} - \overline{b}^2) = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^2\overline{\triangle} \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, by induction the defining equation of the invariants is

$$I(a'_0, a'_1, \cdots, a'_n) = \delta^p I(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n)$$

Definition 2.2. Expressions $g = \nu_0 + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n$ and $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 3\nu_3 + \cdots + n\nu_n$ are called the degree and the weight of a function

$$I(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \sum Z_{\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n} a_0^{\nu_0} a_1^{\nu_1} \cdots a_n^{\nu_n},$$

respectively, where $Z_{\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}$ are numerical coefficients. See [6] for more details.
Note: In this paper, we shall assume that all terms of a function have the same weight.

Lemma 2.3. *Every linear transformation of binary forms can be composed of the following three types of linear transformations :*

$$x = \alpha x', \qquad y = \delta y', \tag{2.5}$$

$$x = x' + \beta y, \qquad y = y', \tag{2.6}$$

$$x = x', \qquad y = \gamma x' + y'. \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Every invariant of a form must be homogeneous in the coefficients and every term must have degree $g = \frac{2p}{n}$, where p is the exponent of the transformation determinant by which the invariant changes under substitution of the transformed coefficients. Furthermore, all terms must have the same weight, which is also equal to p.*

Proof: Since a general linear transformation is composed of these three types of transformation, application of a general transformation will in itself not lead to any new results.

Apply the linear transformation

$$x = \alpha x', \qquad y = \delta y'$$

to the binary form

$$f(x,y) = a_0x^n + \binom{n}{1}a_1x^{n-1}y + \cdots + \binom{n}{i}a_ix^{n-i}y^i + \cdots + a_ny^n$$

The given form then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f(\alpha x', \delta y') = a_0\alpha^n x'^n + \binom{n}{1}a_1\alpha^{n-1}x'^{n-1}\delta y' + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + \binom{n}{i}a_i\alpha^{n-i}x'^{n-i}\delta^i y'^i + \cdots a_n\delta^n y'^n \\ &= a_0'x'^n + \binom{n}{1}a_1'x'^{n-1}y' + \cdots + \binom{n}{i}a_i'x'^{n-i}y'^i + \cdots + a_n'y'^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0' &= a_0\alpha^n, \\ a_1' &= a_1\alpha^{n-1}\delta, \\ &\vdots \\ a_i' &= a_i\alpha^{n-i}\delta^i, \\ &\vdots \\ a_n' &= a_n\delta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$I(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \sum Z_{\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n} {a_0}^{\nu_0}{a_1}^{\nu_1}\cdots{a_n}^{\nu_n}$$

be an invariant of the form f, where the Z are numerical coefficients.

Then we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} I(a_0', a_1', \cdots, a_n') &= I(a_0\alpha^n, a_1\alpha^{n-1}\delta, \cdots, a_n\delta^n) \\ &= \sum \{Z_{\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}{a_0}^{\nu_0}\alpha^{n\nu_0}{a_1}^{\nu_1}\}\alpha^{(n-1)\nu_1}\delta^{\nu_1} \\ &\quad \cdots {a_i}^{\nu_i}\alpha^{(n-i)\nu_i}\delta^{i\nu_i}\cdots{a_n}^{\nu_n}\delta^{n\nu_n} \\ &= \sum \{Z\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n{a_0}^{\nu_0}{a_1}^{\nu_1}\cdots{a_i}^{\nu_i}\cdots{a_n}^{\nu_n}\} \\ &\quad .\alpha^{n\nu_0+(n-1)\nu_1+\cdots+(n-i)\nu_i+\cdots+\nu_{n-1}}\delta^{\nu_1+\cdots+i\nu_i+n\nu_n} \\ &= \alpha^p\beta^p\sum Z_{\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}{a_0}^{\nu_0}{a_1}^{\nu_1}\cdots{a_n}^{\nu_n}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned} n\nu_0 + (n-1)\nu_1 + \cdots + (n-i)\nu_i + \cdots + \nu_{n-1} = p, \\ \nu_1 + \cdots + i\nu_i + \cdots + (n-1)\nu_{n-1} + n\nu_n = p. \end{aligned}$$

Addition of this formula results in: $n(\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n) = 2p$. □

So, two characteristic equations we have found are the following

$$\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 3\nu_3 + \cdots + n\nu_n = p, \qquad ng = 2p.$$

On the other hand, the theorem just proven has evidently a converse.

Theorem 2.5. *Every homogeneous function of the coefficients a, which satisfies the equation* $ng - 2p = 0$*, where* g *is the degree,* p *the weight of this function, and* n *the order of the base form, is an invariant with respect to transformation* (5)*.*

The proof is evident; if one substitutes the a' instead of the a into I, then a factor $\alpha^p \delta^p$ appears because of the assumed identities. So, we avoid repeating it.

3. The Operation Symbols $\mathcal{D}$ and Δ

We introduce two special operational symbols

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} + 2a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2} + 3a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_3} + \cdots + na_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}, \\
\Delta &= na_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_0} + (n-1)a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} + (n-2)a_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2} + \cdots + a_n \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

that are two infinitesimal generators of the unimodular group.

Lemma 3.1. *The operations* $\mathcal{D}$ *and* Δ *applied to a homogeneous function, leave the degree* g *in the* a_i *unchanged;* $\mathcal{D}$ *lowers the weight* p *by 1, that is, to* $p-1$*;* Δ *raises it by 1, to* $p+1$*. Also, homogeneity is preserved by these operations.*

Theorem 3.2. *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a homogeneous function in the* a_i*, of degree* g *and weight* p*, then*

$$(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})\mathcal{A} = (ng - 2p)\mathcal{A} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof: We proof this theorem in three steps:

1. If formulae (9) is valid for two two expressions $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ which have the same degree g and the same weight p, then it is also valid for the sum $\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$. From

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})\mathcal{A}_1 &= (ng - 2p)\mathcal{A}_1, \\
(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})\mathcal{A}_2 &= (ng - 2p)\mathcal{A}_2
\end{aligned}$$

it follows immediately by addition that

$$(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})(\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2) = (ng - 2p)(\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2)$$

2. If the formulae is valid for $\mathcal{A}_1$(degree$= g_1$, weight$= p_1$) and $\mathcal{A}_2$(degree$= g_2$, weight$= p_2$), then it is also valid for the product $\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2$. The product is easily seen to be a homogeneous function of degree $g = g_1 + g_2$ and weight $p = p_1 + p_2$. According of our assumptions, we have now

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})\mathcal{A}_1 &= (ng_1 - 2p_1)\mathcal{A}_1, \\
(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})\mathcal{A}_2 &= (ng_2 - 2p_2)\mathcal{A}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the rules, one finds for the product:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2) &= \mathcal{D}\Delta(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2) - \Delta\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2)\\ &= \mathcal{D}\{\mathcal{A}_1\Delta\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_2\Delta\mathcal{A}_1\} - \Delta\{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_1\}\\ &= \mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{A}_2 + \{\Delta\mathcal{A}_2\}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_1\} + \mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{A}_1 + \{\Delta\mathcal{A}_1\}\{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_2\}\\ &\quad -\mathcal{A}_1\Delta\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_2 - \{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_2\}\{\Delta\mathcal{A}_1\} - \mathcal{A}_2\Delta\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_1 - \{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_1\}\{\Delta\mathcal{A}_2\}\\ &= \mathcal{A}_1\{\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{A}_2 - \Delta\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_2\} + \mathcal{A}_2\{\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{A}_1 - \Delta\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_1\}\\ &= (ng_2 - 2p_2)\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2 + (ng_1 - 2p_1)\mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{A}_1\\ &= (n(g_1+g_2) - 2(p_1+p_2))\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2;\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, indeed

$$(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D})(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2) = (ng - 2p)\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2$$

3. The formulae is valid for $\mathcal{A} = Const$, since then it only says $0 = 0$, and for $\mathcal{A} = a_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}\Delta a_i &= \mathcal{D}(n-i)a_{i+1} = (n-i)(i+1)a_i,\\ \Delta\mathcal{D}a_i &= \Delta i a_{i-1} = i(n-i+1)a_i.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D}a_i) = (ni - i^2 + n - i - in + i^2 - i)a_i = (n-2i)a_i.$$

Finally the general theorem follows directly from these three facts. □

We shall need to derive two general formulas from formulae (9). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a homogeneous function of degree g and weight p. Then $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$ is a homogeneous function of degree g and weight $p-1$, and generally, if we let

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}, \qquad \mathcal{D}^3 = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}, \qquad \cdots$$

then $\mathcal{D}^k\mathcal{A}$ is a homogeneous function of degree g and weight $p-k$. Thus, with straightforward computations we have the following formulas :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^2\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D}^2 &= 2(ng - 2p + 1)\mathcal{D},\\ \mathcal{D}\Delta^2 - \Delta^2\mathcal{D} &= 2(ng - 2p - 1)\Delta.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *The following two important formulas are valid in general:*

$$\mathcal{D}\Delta - \Delta\mathcal{D} = k(ng - 2p + k - 1)\mathcal{D}^{k-1}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\Delta^k - \Delta^k\mathcal{D} = k(ng - 2p - k + 1)\Delta^{k-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof : This is easily proven by induction from k to $k+1$. Namely, suppose the formulas (10), (11) are valid for k. We first apply the operation $\mathcal{D}$ to (10), then

formula (9) to $\mathcal{D}^k$, likewise, we first apply the operation Δ to (11), then formulae (9) to Δ^k. Then we obtain the following identities :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^k + 1\Delta - \mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{D}^k &= k(ng - 2p + k - 1)\mathcal{D}^k,\\
\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{D}^k - \Delta\mathcal{D}^k + 1 &= (ng - 2(p - k))\mathcal{D}^k,\\
\Delta\mathcal{D}\Delta^k - \Delta^{k+1}\mathcal{D} &= k(ng - 2p - k + 1)\Delta^k,\\
\mathcal{D}\Delta^{k+1} - \Delta\mathcal{D}\Delta^k &= (ng - 2)(p + k))\Delta^k.
\end{aligned}$$

And if one adds the first two formulas on the one hand and the second two on the other hand, then one obtains formulas (10) and (11) for $k + 1$. But since they are valid for $k = 2$, they are valid in general. For $k = 1$, they both turn into formulae (9), provided one defines $\mathcal{D}^0\mathcal{A} = \Delta^0\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ □

We shall assume that the formulas we derived are always being applied to a homogeneous function.

4. The smallest system of conditions for the determination of the invariants

Using the relations derived in the previous section, we can substantially simplify the necessary and sufficient conditions for invariants.

Theorem 4.1. *Every homogeneous function I of the coefficients $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n$ of degree g and weight p, where $ng = 2p$, is an invariant if it satisfies the differential equation $\mathcal{D}I = 0$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a homogeneous function of degree g and weight p, where $ng = 2p$. Suppose further that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the differential equation $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} = 0$. Then we want to show that $\mathcal{A}$ also satisfies the differential equation $\Delta\mathcal{A} = 0$, and hence is an invariant.

Since $\Delta^k\mathcal{A}$ has degree g for all k, the weight can not take on arbitrary values; therefore, from some Δ^i on, all have to be identically zero. Let this be $\Delta^k\mathcal{A} = 0$, while $\Delta^{k-1}\mathcal{A} \neq 0$. But, according to formulae (III) in the preceding section, we have

$$\mathcal{D}\Delta\mathcal{A} - \Delta^k\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} = k(-k + 1)\Delta^{k-1}\mathcal{A}$$

Therefore, we must have $k(k - 1)\Delta^{k-1}\mathcal{A} = 0$, Here it can neither happen that $\Delta^{k-1}\mathcal{A} = 0$ (because of our assumption), nor that $k = 0$, because then $\mathcal{A}$ would be identically zero, and so it follows that $k = 1$, that is $\Delta\mathcal{A} = 0$, and our assertion is proven. □

References

[1] L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[2] J. M. Ball, *Differentiability properties of symmetric and isotropic functions*, Duke Math. J. 51 (1984), 699-728.

[3] R. Boot, and L. W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[4] A. Cayley, *The collected mathematical papers*, vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1889.

[5] A. Cayley, *On the theory of linear transformations*, Camb. Math. J. 4 (1854).

[6] G. B. Gurevich, *Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, Holland, 1964.

[7] T. Hawkins, *Jacobi and the birth of Lie's theory of groups*, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. 42 (1991), 187-278.

[8] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[9] P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, Second Edition, G.T.M, Vol.107, Springer-Verlag. New York, 1993.

[10] P. J. Olver, *Equivalence, invariants, and symmetry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995).

[11] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and lie groups*, Scott, Foresman and Co., Glenview, I11., 1971.

[12] J.E. Wright, *Invariants of Quadratic Forms*, Hafner Publ. Co., New York, 1908.

Mehdi Nadjafikhah
School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak-16, Tehran, I.R.Iran.
e-mail: m_nadjafikhah@iust.ac.ir

Parastoo Kabi-Nejad
e-mail: parastoo_ kabinejad@yahoo.com